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Reviewer’s report:

I think the performance for this study needs to be made clearer. In particular:

Title:

The title must be concise enough to reflect the Context' of the review, as a elements of a scoping review. I'm afraid I find the running title does not describes the subject matter of the article, in which injuries are not concerned throughout paper.

Introduction:

I think the authors should change the focus directly to make specific enough to describe the contents according to the elements of a scoping review.

Background:

The background of a scoping review should be comprehensive and should cover the main elements of the topic, important definitions, and the existing knowledge in the field.

In undertaking this review we have adopted a broad approach to the generalized background of the country self-harm and needs more substantial definition of self-harm injuries.

Review question/objective:

The review objection should be directed to the development of specific inclusion criteria including Types of participants (age group or other relevant characteristics or qualifying criteria) which is missing in this review paper.

Method:

Included studies, Paper selection and data extraction, initial framework themes are not clear.
Result:

Extracting and charting the results on basis of measurement instrument, Psychiatric diagnosis Framework is missing.

A point of concern is that, none of included studies outlined the suicidal injuries.

Discussion:

Are not particularly relevant for the aim of the work "suicide and self-inflicted injuries".

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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