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Comment #1

The English of this manuscript is still not suitable for publication unless extensively edited.

Comment #2

I still could not understand what you would like to assert around NAP.

For example, "Among the comorbidities we investigated, hypertension and drug dependence/abuse were most common in both patient groups with a higher prevalence in the NAP patient group."(page 11 line 225-226), how do you think the difference?

You should show the importance to divide schizophrenic patients into two groups (schizophrenia and NAP).

And you also should describe the clinical implications for the treatment to the NAP patients.

Comment #3

I think the conclusions were not unclear. It is true that there were many differences between schizophrenia patients and NAP patients, but I could not find out what the differences were and how physicians should deal them. You should describe the differences and the clinical implication simply in the paragraph of "Conclusions".

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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