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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the second revision of this manuscript (BPSY-D-17-00183). The authors have made a more concerted effort to address the previous concerns raised by the reviewers. In particular, excluding the AN sample and changing the language regarding predictors has greatly improved the manuscript. I only have a few minor corrections that are unlikely to need further external review.

1. Please be sure to change the title in the manuscript submission system. I could only see the revised title upon opening the word document version.

2. In the abstract conclusions section, the phrase “High weight suppression confers a poor prognosis” should be rephrased in line with the others clarifications that these were assessed concurrently at follow up.

3. There is a typo on page 6 which refers to ”2014” patients at follow up instead of 201.

4. In the Participants section, please specify the weight cut-off used to differentiate AN and OSFEDr.

5. In Table 1, it would be helpful to include the range (as well as mean and SD) for age, BMI at top weight and presentation, and weight loss. This is particularly relevant given the emphasis placed on examining a wide range of BMI.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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