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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed my major concerns.

Minor Essential
There remain some minor grammatical changes that the authors can be trusted to make without further review.

Abstract
Line 11: Change to – “Significant protective predictors were: a younger age ….”
Line 13: Remove with and replace of with for to read: “preparedness for delivery”
Line 15: Change to – “Significant risk factors were: a history of

Introduction
Page 2, Line 14: Change to – “A review of 57 studies…”
Page 3, Line 7: Change to – This study, therefore, aims to identify…”

Methods

Measures
Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS)
Page 7, Line 17: Change was to is “version of SDS is widely used among Chinese women…”

Data Analysis
Two tailed tests are not used in Logistic regression. Can I suggest that you remove the sentence
Page 10, Line 19-20 – “All statistical tests were performed using two-tailed tests”

It is not clear whether you are reporting unadjusted or adjusted Odds Ratios. I am assuming the results in Table 5 are “adjusted” with all predictors in the model.
If that is the case then I suggest that you report that “the adjusted logistic regression odds ratios and 97% confidence intervals are reported (Table 5).

Results
Page 11, Line 18: Change was to are to read “Means of predictors are listed in Table 3”
Page 12, Line 19: Change to – “Significant protective predictors were: a younger age ….”
Page 12, Line 21: Remove with and replace of with for to read: “preparedness for delivery”

Page 13, Line 2: Change to – “Significant risk factors were: a history of delivery”

Page 13, Line 21, last sentence: Change to – “This is consistent with research…”

Page 13, Line 1: Change to – “research by Koleva, and colleagues (or others) [11], who found that”

Page 13, Lines 4-7. This does not read well. May be: “In relation to the predictor factor of miscarriage history, Koleva, and colleagues [11], found that the number of previous pregnancies was significantly associated with antenatal depression.”

Table 5
Are these results unadjusted or adjusted, that is with all the factors in the model? See my comment above.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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