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The Biomed Central Editorial Team

Object: Manuscript ID: 1383990342124122- Visualization analysis of author collaborations in schizophrenia research

We have reviewed the above manuscript according to your reviewer’s comments.

Reviewer#1 (Carmine Tomasetti)

1. Although the issue is interesting and stimulating, the paper should be radically revised, because of English grammar as well as syntax mistakes that make it difficult to be read and understood. Therefore, as a major compulsory revision, I suggest to completely review English language throughout the text, possibly assisted by mothertongue writers.

   Edanz Editing Group has helped us to improve English writing.

2. In the Introduction section, please better explain the issue of your analysis, and the aims of your study.

   The issue of your analysis and the aims of your study are described on page 3 and page 4 “In this study we analyzed author collaboration relationships as a way to help researchers focus their studies on key issues in schizophrenia, in the hopes of helping them prevent and treat this disease. An analysis of this sort can help clinical and research departments select experts in the field of schizophrenia, thus allowing research groups to improve the efficiency of their research work and provide scientific
evidence and guidance for making policy."

3. In the Discussion, the authors analyze the outcomes of virtual research collaborations in schizophrenia research, but they fail to really suggest how concretely it should be possible to realize a collaboration on the issues, which is the primary aim of the article, I suppose. Please deeply argue on the issue.

● The issue of collaborations in schizophrenia research is described from line 251 to line 277 on page 12 and page 13.
Reviewer#2(Xiaojun Yuan)

1. Restructure the results and analysis section. For example, instead of enumerating each author sequentially based on the frequency of occurrence, a brief summary of the related results in terms of author relationship should be enough. Some information already appeared in the corresponding tables. It is not necessary to repeat once again.

   ● We have revised this comment from line 115 to line 235 on page 6 to page 11.

2. A justification why you chose the CiteSpace system is needed a compassion of the similar systems may help at this point.

   ● We have described this comment from line 78 to 101 on page 4 and page 5.

3. Editing in writing is needed

   ● Edanz Editing Group has helped us to improve English writing.