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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting report on an important subject. The paper reports the process of developing a feasible electronic health record that is accessible to patients. I have some minor concerns about the paper:

In the abstract the authors report that 133 stakeholders were collaborated with. I failed to find out where this number came from. On page 4, line 171 it is mentioned that 121 services users were interviewed. Who were the 12 others at stage 1?

The 121 cases were interviewed. Obviously using open-ended questions? How was the analysis of responses analysed (thematic content or another way?) so that the authors came up with five themes?

What kind of measures were used for self-monitoring? Could, perhaps, the Worrying Tree described in an appendix?

On page 8, line 21, the authors report that some respondents had problems with text size. Does this mean the amount or size of the fonts?

How was data security/privacy ensured in this system?

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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