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This is a relevant case report, but certain points need clarification.

1. The introduction to the case description has a case summary, it maybe omitted.

2. The description of clinical details in terms of seizure semiology, EEG description and drugs should have more clarity, like which epilepsy syndrome, point at which it was labelled as drug refractory, any neuroimaging changes.

3. Its important to mention what ketogenic formulation was used, and whether urine ketone monitoring was being done.

4. It should be mentioned, at what age ketogenic diet was initiated and what investigations were sent and what modifications done prior to starting KD.

5. How was the diagnosis of PLE established, that needs clarity.

6. Whether interstitial lymphangiectasia is a cause of PLE or its effect, that needs clarification, and its relation to KD, needs clarity.

7. How was the patient monitored on KD and mention of other side effects should also be done.

8. The EEG images should be more clear with appropriate figure legends.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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