Author’s response to reviews

Title: Stockholm Preterm Interaction-Based Intervention (SPIBI) - Study protocol for an RCT of a 12-month parallel-group post-discharge program for extremely preterm infants and their parents

Authors:

Erika Baraldi (erika.baraldi@specped.su.se)
Mara Westling Allodi (mara.allodi@specped.su.se)
Kristina Löwing (kristina.lowing@ki.se)
Ann-Charlotte Smedler (acsr@psychology.su.se)
Björn Westrup (bjorn.westrup@ki.se)
Ulrika Ådén (ulrika.aden@ki.se)

Version: 2 Date: 09 Jan 2020

Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editorial board of Pediatrics,

We are grateful that you are considering accepting our manuscript. We have carefully revised the protocol according to the comments listed below and worked through the manuscript one more time to match the submission guidelines.

Best regards

The SPIBI research group

1. Please represent authors' names using their full initials, not their full name, in the Authors’ Contributions section. If there are any duplicated initials, please differentiate them to make it clear that the initials refer to separate authors.
   Answer: This has been changed. See Declarations section, line 1-3, page 32.
2. In the section 'Funding', please also describe the role of the funding body/bodies in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.
   Answer: This has been changed. See Declarations section line 15-18, page 31.
3. Please ensure that all figures/tables and supplementary files are cited within the text. Any items which are not cited may be deleted by our production department upon publication. (Table 1)
   Answer: This has been changed. See Method section, line 20, page 10.
4. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
Answer: Yes, this is now done.
There were no additional comments from the two reviewers at this point. However, major changes were
done in the protocol in the first revision, see previous correspondence with the reviewers.