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Reviewer's report:

There are alot of grammatical errors in the manuscript from the title the authors needs to be specific on the mothers .Are they antenatal or post natal

page 1-line 10- danger signs are not the cause of mortality but a sign easily recognized to denote danger
line 13- unclear sentence
line 30th-which mothers and how did you get the prevalence of knowledge yet they are more than 10 danger signs.Which number indicate good knowledge or poor knowledge
line 40 -factors associated with which knowledge good or poor

page 2
line 2.35-presenting grammer
line 40-neonatal deaths by 2030 will be ??
line 40-the highest mortality occured when?

page 3
what do you mean by the above danger signs????
line 21-with pooled regions are they not statistically representative?
lines 23,24,25,26-not clear
line 39,40 looks like the objectives
line 43-description of the area inadequate
line 23-how did you define the postnatal period
line 34-how good is unpublished data and reports in a systemic review

page 8
line 42 -specify which mothers the prevalence not clear was it one danger sign two or three to describe as good knowledge?please look at Kibaru and Otara BMC Res Notes (2016) 9:481 DOI 10.1186/s13104-016-2272-3

page 15
line 37-Mass media -did you mean newspapers, radios, Tv?iam wondering how this was applicable in the rural areas did you include availability of the mobile phones ?how accessible is it in Ethiopia
line 59-how different were the time variation,measurements and social demographic characteristics of the study population?please elaborate
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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