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Author’s response to reviews:

“Your manuscript "Impact of the intervention program “JolinchenKids – Fit and Healthy in Daycare” on energy balance related-behaviors: Results of a cluster controlled trial" (BPED-D-19-00337R2) has been assessed. I am pleased to inform you that it is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Pediatrics, once you have carried out some essential revisions.”

Thank you very much. We look forward to receiving a final decision on our manuscript.

“Please ensure that the email address for the corresponding author matches that which has been listed on our editorial system- currently they differ.”

We changed the email address for the corresponding author. It now matches the one listed in the editorial system (page 1, line 19).

“We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular: https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-017-4551-x
While we understand that this is work that you have previously published, and some of the same ideas are contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. If there is overlap in the Methods section, please ensure to summarize the methods and cite the source. Please re-phrase these sections to minimise overlap.”

We minimised text overlaps and added appropriate references to the submitted manuscript.
“Please rename INTRODUCTION to Background,-Rename MATERIALS AND METHODS to Methods.”

We renamed both accordingly in Background (p. 5, l. 104) and in Methods (p. 6, l. 144).

“Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.”

A Conclusions section has now been added after the discussion section (p. 26, l. 579-589).

“The last section of the abstract should be Trial Registration: If registration took place after the first participant was enrolled, please state also “Retrospectively registered” at the end of this section.”

Trial registration has been added to the last section of the abstract (p. 3, l. 78).