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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript no. BPED-D-19-00623R1 has now be assessed for possible publication in journal of BMC pediatric. In it presented form, the manuscript could not be accepted as an original paper. Some of the comments are as bellow:

P4 L4: Please use the latest WHO report and insert its reference.
P4 L7-9: Please use the latest WHO report.
P6 L1-12: Explanation of TB diagnosis with such detailed isn't necessary and relative.
P10 L13-17: Reporting of species isn't according to standard structures.
P12 L8-12: Comparison of results with an organism in vagina isn't interesting.
P14 L13: Bifidobacteriaceae is a family not phylum.
P14 L20: Any indicated limitations aren't acceptable.

There are many repetitive words in the text, Such as "what's more".
The novelty of the text should explain with more details, too.
The method section is extensively specified to samples and diagnosis of TB and explanation of molecular approaches is limited.
I can't find any ethical committee in your paper.
Generally, writing of the text is so poor and should rewrite, clearly.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
No
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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