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Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Dear Authors

I can see the improvement in this copy of manuscript. The efforts that you have been made is clear at this copy.

There is still a very minor but important thing to be done before the manuscript being published.

I would suggest refining the title to look shorter and informative about topic of study to be "Maternal peer group influence on mothers' attitudes to obesity-related behaviours"

I also would suggest to do quick review to the tables (especially Table 1 and 3) and to make it more appropriate in accordance to publication format. I also would suggest to have in italic under each table what does the * mean and what was the used test in order to give a clear decision about results in each table. I also would suggest rewriting the subtitles of each table as it is too long in some tables and did not reflect what was tested in the table. These are very minor comments and does not interfere with the acceptance of the manuscript. it just add more professional view of the tables

Good luck
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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