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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for asking me to see the revised Version of this manuscript. I find it much improved. I still feel that the discussion could be slightly shorter, but this is discretionary.

The authors have addressed my concerns regarding over-interpretation of their data, and have improved the tables as required.

Substantial work has been done on the quality of the English language used, however, I still have some minor amendments to suggest:

- line 136 please eliminate "were"
- line 166 please eliminate "further"
- line 185 please eliminate "the" before valid
- line 190 please be consistent: either variable...was or variables....were
- line 218 please eliminate the "s" of "models"
- line 233 must read FibroScan has been, not have been
- line 240 please use "concentrating on", not "concentrated in"
- line 243 "been" and "already" must swap position
- line 249 please add an "s" to difference
- line 253 please eliminate the "s" of values
- line 302 please use "different" instead of "otherwise"

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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