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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed most of my earlier comments. A few follow-up suggestions:

1. Appreciate the citations re: treatment fidelity ... both should be cited in the manuscript (I don't see the Lennartsson paper "Integrating new knowledge ..." in the reference list). I agree that these surveys help to assess parents' awareness and nurses' reported delivery of intervention, but would not address changes in parent behavior or what interventionists actually did. This should be added as a limitation.

2. The authors added a comment noting that the two settings were similar ... this would of course be much more compelling if there were data/some empirical comparison (e.g., similar SES in the two communities, similar patient populations in terms of pre-term birth, NICU, etc.)

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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**Quality of written English**
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