Response to Reviewer's suggestions

Thank you very much for your suggestions about the study. Revisions were made by the recommendations of the reviewers. All corrections and additions were indicated with red colour.

Reviewer 1

Every child diagnosed newly during our study was involved in the paper. Hence, control group and seasonal distribution of the patients were chosen as similar by ignoring seasonal equal distribution of patients and instead of this, minimizing negative results of seasons that can create on the study. This situation was added to the method part.

Reviewer 2

The mistake regarding Vitamin D deficiency ve insufficiency was corrected.

Hyperthyroidism was corrected as hyperparathyroidism.
In literature, there are classifications as mild-medium-serious for 25-OH vitamin D deficiency. Nevertheless, we preferred to use values indicated by TİETZ Textbook of Clinical Chemistry and molecular Diagnostics as cut off value more commonly used for Vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency in our study.

Recommended annex associated with the restriction of the study was added to discussion part.

Information regarding the relationship of Vitamin D with seasons and its exposure to sunlight was added to discussion part.

Potential mechanisms of negative correlations of vitamin D deficiency in patients with celiac disease were added to discussion part.