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The authors addressed my comments yet the text should revised at some points in the article

Dear

The text appears to me with corrections but the final version need to be "cleaned"

1 Abstract section:

Background

In the abstract section I see this sentence " additionally, myocardial and as the article states it jabs not well been described among immune compromised children"

This is copied I think mistakenly from the comments. I think it should be

"Myocardial involvement has been rarely described"

Conclusions: may be associated with "cause"

The word cause should be omitted

Discussion:

The dashed area added should be revised.
Furthermore, in the present case, biopsy of the heart tissue was not performed and thus demonstration of RSV in tissue sample was not possible. This procedure is not routinely performed in children. However, the presence of serum RSV RNA in our patient suggesting systemic viral infection may support the notion of direct invasion of RSV into myocardial tissues as suggested by previous study [6].

Best regards

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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