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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript has attempted to assess earthquake's impact on children's health by comparing children coming from earthquake affected and non-affected areas of Nepal. It provides an important outlook on effect of earthquake on health other than trauma which could be helpful in planning and preparing for future earthquakes.

1. The main weakness of this paper is its abstract. The Method section of main manuscript is well written, however that is not reflected in Method section of the Abstract. In Abstract section, clearly state objective(s) that matches the Method section's objectives. Include a sentence about Statistical analysis in Abstract.

2. Review language and grammar throughout the manuscript especially the Abstract section. Always spell out full words first before using abbreviations.

3. In Results section, although it is not necessary to include all data it will be helpful to include some of the major data in this section. For example, what was the total number of patients reviewed and how many were in each group? It is also important to include some of the important numbers in the Abstract.

4. Tables- make sure to include total number of patients (N) for each group in all tables.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published
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Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?
6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?
If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

"No" to all questions. I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.
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