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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting secondary analysis of nutritional status and the co-existence of stunting and overweight in Ghana. The authors have written a generally good manuscript that requires extensive revisions before being considered for publication.

Specific comments are:

The Introduction and Discussion need thorough editing to ensure that the writing conforms to other published work. In short, the Introduction does not flow well and there is no real sense of the rationale for conducting the study. What is the gap in the literature this paper will fill? Why did the authors conduct the study? Simply because the dataset was available? The authors need to revise the writing to make it more clear and tell a compelling story as to why the study was done and the importance of this study.

There are many typos and minor grammatical errors that should be addressed.

For example, Pg 3 L 10-11 has "now now canoriginate" that should be "now can originate"

Pg 6 L 21-25 should be one complete paragraph

pg 9 L 34 delete "our data show"

Pg 10 Please use spaces between all headings and subheadings

Pg 10 L 28-34 This entire paragraph does not make sense.

The Discussion needs to be fully revised to be more clear and make better sense. The authors are advised to work with a scientific editor to improve the writing.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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