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Reviewer's report:

Dear Editor;

The authors article title is Association between gross motor function and postural control in sitting in children with cerebral palsy: A study of 139 children article was first revised.

So, they have added the e-mail addresses of the other co-authors in the Title page. They have highlighted the ethical approval and informed consent in a section entitled Ethical approval and consent in Methods part. They included line numbers in the main text file.

You can find at below my answer about this article:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   Yes, well defined.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   Yes. Only this problem is LSS has Level and GMFCS has five. This sound not almost good.

3. Are the data sound?
   No problem.

4. Do the figures appear to be genuine, i.e. without evidence of manipulation?
   Figures are good displayed.

5. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes

6. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   Yes

7. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   Limitation is only, form of LSS. LSS is 8 level but GMFCS is 5. Good idea is find and create new scale about sitting for Cerebral palsy. This is necessity. I am not
Statistician so I do not know possible or not comparing to scales.
But this is discretionary revisions for authors.

8. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   Yes

9. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   I mean, last description is not requirement. “A STUDY OF 139 CHILDREN”. Sound is not good.
   “Association between gross motor function and postural control in sitting in children with cerebral palsy” is enough.

10. Is the writing acceptable?
    Yes

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.

**Declaration of competing interests:**

'I declare that I have no competing interests' below.