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Reviewer’s report:

This study seems to be of good quality and of clinical interest and I think it should be published after some improvements.

Major Compulsory Revisions

Page 5, line 18. Facial oxygen: explain; does it mean oxygen mask?

Page 6, line 4-5. “Prior to each drug administration and at 30…”: was the 30 min assessment before or after the 30 min nebulization?

Page 7, line 10. The various parameters must be explained. Alternatively, the mathematical function could be omitted and referred to.

Page 9, line 7. I guess that “Table – 5 and Table-6” should be “Table 2 and Table 3”. Line 9. Figure-9 should be Figure 4.

Page 10, line 21: correct “(P= .01)”

Figures: I guess that Figure 5 should be Figure 2 and Figure 2 should be Figure 3.

Table 2. The NS group could not have mean age 1.51 and range 3-22. Use Celcius, not Farenheit.

Table 4. “O Min” should be “0 min”.

Tables 4, 5: Confidence intervals: CI should include a mean – where are the means?

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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