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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for addressing the earlier comments.

Minor Essential Revisions:

1. Lines 102-103: Could you put these studies into context? What did they find?
2. Line 170: CONSORT isn't intended to assess risk of bias, it's to guide transparency in reporting.
3. Line 270: I'm still unclear on why a subset of CONSORT items was used. How did you determine which were the "most important" measures?
4. Overall, this manuscript would benefit from further proof-reading/editing to ensure that the messages are clear and to improve flow.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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