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Reviewer's report:

1° Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
The authors clearly realized that their original goal concerning the IEM diseases cannot be reach with their research due to the low number of publications and extended their research to the pediatric chronic diseases. By this research they open new doors for future research and health economic impact.

2° Are the methods appropriate and well described?
It seems even that I am not personally a specialist in this type of analyses that they have followed the GCP practices.

3° Are the data sound?
Yes

4° Do the figures appear to be genuine, i.e. without evidence of manipulation?
Yes even that for final publication the figure 1 will need to be resized.

5° Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
Yes

6° Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
The discussions are supported by the data, but we would also appreciate to see some recommendation on how to use their finding in tern of future prospective studies and not just retrospective studies.

7° Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
Yes

8° Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
This could be further developed as already stated in term of recommendations for future usage.
9° Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
Yes

10° Is the writing acceptable?
Yes

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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