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Reviewer’s report:

Minor Essential Revisions
14-17 >long sedense
33>...the risk "for developing" of osteoporosis...
34>...program>programs
43-46>long sedense - could be split to two
48>..that intakes > the intakes
62-65> This is author’s hypothesis and not based on literature/research
67-69...however....encomplete sedense?
114-131> It is not clear if the reference intakes for polish population applied for the 6year-olds of the study - must be stated clearly
158>...and table 4- the...and table 4 the
198> The age is average>should be written as in sedense 79
235>..however,..>However,...(new sedense)
263>...according to recomendations..>should mentioned some or mention the source and not only the reference number
286> the same as in 198
307>Same coment as in 33

*Comment
In the discussion part may be good to mention methological differences among the different mentioned studies concerning data collection, analysing food methods. This might explain the mentioned differences found.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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