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Reviewer's report:

In this case report, authors presented an interesting case with multimodal imaging.

Strong point of this case report would be their findings with multimodal imaging. Authors also concluded Swept source OCT and OCT angiography may be of a great contribution to better evaluate these retinal vascular disorders. To support these, it would be great to compare findings of OCT angiography with those of FAG or findings of (en face) OCT with those of ICGA. Was the flow of hemangioma well matched with those of FAG? Was all the saccular lesions in FAG also visible at OCT angiography? Or was there any new findings in OCT angiography? What was the advantage of OCT angiography over FAG in terms of additional findings? Authors presented a choriocapillaris finding. In addition, en face OCT findings of choroidal layer, if available, would be great to compare the retinal and choroidal vascular alternation in this case.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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