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Reviewer's report:

The authors reported the effect of intravitreal conbercept injection for preventing of rebleeding after vitrectomy.

1. First of all, there are different condition of eye and surgical indications vary from simple vitreous hemorrhage to retinal detachment. The authors should describe the intraoperative findings after removal of vitreous hemorrhage and any events related with hemorrhage.

2. What is the cause of rebleeding after surgery on early and late period postoperative vitreous hemorrhage? The authors show the data of postoperative hemorrhage on Table 4. There were many severe graded vitreous hemorrhage cases in IVC group on Day 1.

The authors evaluated postoperative bleeding cases based on 4weeks after surgery, early and late. I think the grade of hemorrhage of POD 1day affect the status of POD 1week.

3. Are there any other treatment for the patients who has rebleeding after surgery?

4. I think that intravitreal anti-VEGF have increased clearance in vitrectomized eye. The authors use 0.5mg of conbercept. How's the pharmacokinetics of intravitreal conbercept in vitrectomized eye. Is it enough to prevent postoperative vitreous hemorrhage?

5. The authors evaluate the effect of conbercept for one year, late postoperative vitreous hemorrhage. After single injection of conbercept, did it work during 1year?

6. The authors should describe the fundus status after surgery including fluorescein angiography for new vessels and non-perfusion areas.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
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Needs some language corrections before being published
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