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Author’s response to reviews:

Author’s response to reviewers’ comments
Dear editors,
We greatly appreciate your comments concerning our manuscript submitted for publication in your journal. We have revised the manuscript according to your comments with a point-by-point response to these comments. All changes to the manuscript are indicated in the text by highlighting and the revised manuscript has been checked according to the journal style.

Thank you very much for giving us this precious opportunity to revise our manuscript. We really cherish this chance and are very willing to make further modifications if necessary.

Thank you.
Yours sincerely,
Yi Lu

Technical Comments
Editor Comments:
Avoid vague terms vague terms such as: "relatively quiet", "generally normal", "senior doctor" (page 4)
Carefully review of the English.
Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. We have edited our manuscript with the help from a language editing service (Stallard Scientific Editing, New Zealand). Vague terms such as “relatively quiet”, “generally normal” and “senior doctor” have been eliminated or replaced (Page 4, Paragraph 2, Line 3 and 8). Thanks again for your advice.

Reviewer reports:
Jose Javier San Román, M.D (Reviewer 1):
The images could be of better quality
Response: Dear Dr. Jose Javier San Román, thank you very much for your suggestion. We are really
grateful for this chance you provided to us to revise our case report. According to your suggestion, we have tried our best to find the original images of better quality to replace the previous ones and to adjust the figures to higher resolution. We really hope that the new images could meet your requirement.

OCT in gray
Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. OCT image in this case was acquired by Cirrus OCT 5000 (SW Ver: 7.0.1.290, copyright 2013, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc). According to your suggestion, we have used the original OCT image in gray without pseudo-color processing in the Fig.1 of the revised manuscript. Thanks again.

It would be great to order the medical history and make it shorter
Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. In the revised manuscript, we included the month and year of key events, so that the timeline can be clearly established (Page 3, Paragraph 2, Line 6; Page 4, Paragraph 1, Line 1-3; Page 4, Paragraph 2, Line 1). Also, according to your suggestion, we have shortened the Case presentation part from 671 to 575 words.

Dr. Jose Javier San Román, we are really grateful for your suggestions that help greatly in improving our case report. Revisions have been made according to your comments. We do hope that the revised manuscript can meet your requirement. If there are other problems to be addressed, please tell us and we will be very willing to make further modifications.

Adel Ebraheem (Reviewer 2): Dear Dr.Yi Lu,
Thank you for submitting this interesting case report; however, we have some comments
Please identify the pathology and/or anatomical structures of the points arrows on the attached photos
Response: Dear Dr. Adel Ebraheem, thank you very much for your recognition of our work and thank you very much for your comments that make our report clearer to readers. According to your suggestion, we have added the pathology of the point arrows on Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

Please submit a high quality OCT file
Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. According to your suggestion, we have used the original OCT image in gray without pseudo-color processing with higher quality in the Fig.1 of the revised manuscript. Thanks again.

Dr. Adel Ebraheem, we are really grateful for your suggestions and thank you for giving us this chance to revise our case report. Revisions have been made according to your comments. We do hope that the revised manuscript can meet your requirement. If there are other problems to be addressed, please tell us and we will be very willing to make further modifications.