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Reviewer's report:

This is an extremely intriguing case report of concurrent WD and KC. The authors must be commended for following up and documenting these interesting findings. Some comments.

1. The report requires care with grammar and syntax. Many articles are missing. Punctuation is faulty. Reference 9 states "LANCE" where I think they must have meant Lancet

2. The authors should discuss the similarities and differences between their case and the only other case report they have mentioned.

3. Is this case different from the other KF ring patients reported? From the picture, the copper deposition seemed more diffuse while the KF ring is classically a very sharp concentric ring. Does the corneal thinning in KC contribute to this distribution? Was the other case also the same?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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