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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors :
On behalf of my co-authors, we thank you very much for giving us an opportunity to revise our manuscript, we appreciate editor and reviewers very much for their positive and constructive comments and suggestions on our manuscript entitled “Pathology Features and the Results of Treatment of Two Cases of Posterior Choroidal Leiomyoma” (BOPH-D-20-00139).
We have studied reviewer’s comments carefully and have made minor revision. We have tried our best to revise our manuscript according to the comments. Attached please find the revised version, which we would like to submit for your kind consideration.
We would like to express our great appreciation to you and reviewers for comments on our paper. Looking forward to hearing from you.

Thank you and best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Zhou Nan
Email: echo99time@163.com
Tel: +86 13818011182

List of Response
Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers’ comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Pathology Features and the Results of Treatment of Two Cases of Posterior Choroidal Leiomyoma” (BOPH-D-20-00139). Those comments are valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval.
Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer’s comments are as flowing:

Responds to the editors’s comments:
Editor Comments:
1. Case Reports
Case reports should make a contribution to medical knowledge and must have educational value or highlight the need for a change in clinical practice or diagnostic/prognostic approaches. As you have stated, a few case reports on posterior choroidal leiomyoma were published before. Could you please describe why this case should be reported and its novelty? Please also include this in the Background of the main text?
Response:
Thanks to you for your good comments, as we described in the background “As for its rarity and ability to camouflage as melanoma, enucleation was common management for intraocular leiomyoma in most of the previous reports. Herein we report 2 cases of CL in young woman and the results of local excision treatment.”, in our report, we think the local excision which performed by the 23 to 25-gauge micoinvasive vitrectomy and reconstruction of the eyeball, is a reasonable management to the choroidal leiomyoma, and the enucleation maybe is unnecessary. However, it requires surgeons to have excellent surgical techniques and rich experience.
The case 1 had a history of small uterine fibroids and was not treated surgically, while case 2 had no family history of systemic (uterine) fibroids or any subtle clinical signs associated with the disease. To our knowledge, this is the only Asian woman with choroidal leiomyoma and hysteromyoma. Although the cases of intraocular mesodermal leiomyoma expressing progesterone and androgen receptor have been reported ( Quhill H1, Rennie IG, Rundle PA, Mudhar HS. Three cases of intraocular mesectodermal leiomyoma expressing progesterone and androgen receptors. Eye (Lond). 2013;27(5):669-72. doi: 10.1038/eye.2013.37), there is no evidence for the relationship between uterine fibroids and choroidal leiomyoma, so we did not mention it in the background.

2. Figures
Please ensure all figures are attached and have been cited within the manuscript. Cur
Response: We have revised this part according to the Reviewer’s good suggestion.

3. Remove files
Please remove any files from your file inventory that you do not wish to be published alongside your manuscript.
Response: We have revised this part according to the Reviewer’s good suggestion.

4. Clean manuscript
At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Additional files should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.
Response: We have revised this part according to the Reviewer’s good suggestion.
We tried our best to improve the manuscript and appreciate for Editors/Reviewers’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.
Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.