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To the authors,

The rate of Posterior capsule opacification (PCO) induced by an IOL is one of the very important factors that helps in choosing a particular IOL over the other.

The Acrysof SN60WF has a very low rate of short and long term PCO formation as has been published in peer reviewed literature. The new modification in the material of the Acrysof platform, named as Clarion, aims to reduce the amount of glistinings that are seen with SN60WF. However, it is important to know whether any of the other properties have changed in the clarion due to change in the material used.

This manuscript aims to study the non-inferiority of clarion compared to SN60WF in terms of posterior capsule proliferative response.

Are the methods appropriate and well described? If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls? If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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