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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting, well-written paper, providing important information about the questionnaire-reported incidence of childhood glaucoma in Scotland over a 2-year period. The authors acknowledge the probability of underestimation due to the moderate response rate of 56%. The paper is comprehensive and also addresses the clinicians' experience and comfort in managing those children.

If possible, it would be interesting to provide an explanation for the fact that in Scotland the incidence of secondary paediatric glaucoma was significantly higher compared to the BIG-study, and this rate is probably higher due to the possibility of underestimation. Also, if possible, it would be interesting to report the ethnicity of affected children (Caucasian, Asian, African, American etc), as this might explain the observed differences in the reported rate of glaucoma between regions. However, I am not sure if the authors can do this in the current study, as their survey relied on clinician's recalling numbers of patients seen.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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