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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

This is a pos-hoc subgroup analysis of a previous phase 3 study that shares interesting data on diabetic patients. Authors have adequately described the limitations of the study (small sample size not adequately powered for subgroup analysis). In this context, I would encourage you to add the following data:

1. Page 8: efficacy variables: "Additional mydriatic treatments were, pupillary expansion device and/or instillation of extra medication(s) for mydriasis that were not included in the clinical trial protocol" Describe which type of "additional medications for mydriasis" were used.

2. Results: add standard deviation and range to all variables (pupil size, duration of surgery, etc.)

3. Authors describe adverse events as mild or moderate: could you define the following? Moderate punctate keratitis (2)
Moderate dry eye (1)
Moderate eyelid ptosis (1)
Moderate posterior capsule rupture (1)

these are not standard terms. How were they determined?

4. Why was ECC not measured in all patients?
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?
If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

1. Received consulting fees from Thea Laboratoires
2. I am a Roche employee

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.
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