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Reviewer's report:

It is advisable to refer to article: Cyclodialysis: an updated approach to surgical strategies.
Selvan H, Gupta V, Gupta S.

Standard nomenclature should be used to refer to different surgical techniques.

2. Why was wound debridement done?
   It is done in cases of infection?

3. Please tell whether an anterior segment or a posterior segment surgeon performed all the surgeries
4. Was partial thickness scleral flap fashioned?
5. Not clear whether anterior or posterior route used for nucleus removal
6. What was the length of 30G needle? Is it ready available?
7. Lots of grammatical errors, eg, Silicone oil filling was performed in 5 patients due to rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, and C3F8 gas filling was performed in 1 patient; Their VA of the naked eye was further improved to different degrees
8. Why was exposed suture not reported, only conjunctiva was used to bury them without sclera?
9. In 2019, Gupta et al. used a 26G needle with a single suture and a single knot to repair large iridodialysis24: it was for cyclodialysis
10. Why was prolene suture not used, there is a risk of breakage of nylon suture when tightening leading to loss of traction all over.
11. Where are the patients with open globe injury as mentioned in the manuscript? not mentioned in the table
12. Why did the authors perform UBM in eyes with RD and hypotony suspecting a cyclodialysis? is this a routine practice for all cases of trauma.
13. In the video shown, the authors have not used scleral incision before needle exit. no markings have been made either. as a result, the suture passes at contiguous points is irregular, the fifth pass is very posterior compared to the previous passes, also the surgeon is facing great difficulty in suture passage due to hypotony, very less G of needle used 30 G instead of 26G, no counterforce from the scleral incision.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
No

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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