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Reviewer's report:

PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

OBJECTIVE - Full research articles: is there a clear objective that addresses a testable research question(s) (brief or other article types: is there a clear objective)?
No - there are major issues

DESIGN - Is the current approach (including controls and analysis protocols) appropriate for the objective?
No - there are major issues

EXECUTION - Are the experiments and analyses performed with technical rigor to allow confidence in the results?
No - there are major issues

STATISTICS - Is the use of statistics in the manuscript appropriate?
N/A - there are no statistics in this study

INTERPRETATION - Is the current interpretation/discussion of the results reasonable and not overstated?
No - there are major issues

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Is the current version of this work technically sound? If not, can revisions be made to make the work technically sound?
No - manuscript has some fundamental flaw(s)

PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: The authors describe 5 cases of acute drug-induced angle closure with choroidal effusion, showing uncertain angiographic aspects. The authors need to revise the paper adding more convincing before/after angiographic documentation.
REQUESTED REVISIONS:

In particular, I'm not sure about pinpoint hyperfluorescence, leakage and diffuse choroidal hyperfluorescence. The authors should also submit the angiograms after the resolution of the clinical picture to provide some kind of before/after comparison. In addition, the authors should include ultrasound and UBM documentation of the disorder. Angiographic alterations due to choroidal effusion syndrome have been previously described. The authors should significantly implement their manuscript to offer some new detail. In particular, how can the circulatory imbalance be produced by the drugs? Which is the most evident angiographic sign and why does it occur?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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