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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

We feel quite delighted to have your response and regard it as a great honor for our manuscript to be possibly accepted by your journal. As required, we have made improvement to this manuscript. The revisions in the paper and the responses to the editor’s comments are as follows:

1. Ethics: Thank you for providing the ethical approval form attached to your revised manuscript. However, as it is not in English, we are unable to use it to verify the ethical approval stated in your manuscript. Please amend the statement in the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” section to clearly state that the ethics committee listed in the manuscript approved the study.

Response: As suggested, in the section of “Ethics approval and consent to participate”, we have made it clear that the research has been granted approval from the Medical Ethics Commission. The detail is as follows: The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of North Sichuan Medical College and supervised throughout the process.
2. Funding: In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Response: Appreciate your guidance. In the part of funding, a description is made of the role played by the funding at this study. The detail is as follows: The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

3. Author approval of manuscript: Please move the statement declaring that all listed authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript from the “Competing interests” section to the “Authors’ contributions” section.

Response: As advised, we have moved the specified content from the section of “Competing interests” to “Authors’ contributions”. The transferred content is as follows: All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

4. Figures: Please ensure all figures are labelled so that they match how they are listed and detailed in the Figure Legend section. Please also provide the heading “Figure Legend” for the appropriate section.

Response: As required, we have double checked the order and position of figures and tables, while re-confirming the position of figure Legend in the manuscript.

5. Remove supplementary file: Please remove the supplementary files “Ethical certification materials.jpg”, “Nature Research Editing Service Certification.pdf” and the NC3R ARRIVE guidelines checklist from the manuscript, as it no required to be attached to the manuscript at this stage of the editorial process.

Response: We have removed the relevant files as specified from the re-uploaded manuscript.

6. Clean Manuscript: At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: The manuscript, figures and tables without any signs of revision have been uploaded after being sorted out.

Special thanks to you for good comments.