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Reviewer's report:

Interesting work, but you must improve your presentation.

My suggestions and comment:
1. Lot of grammatical errors have been marked by me in the attache file, kindly see.

2. At the end of introduction, please summarize the lacunae in literature and mention the aim of your study. In my opinion, you can highlight the fact of lack of prospective observation and that patients in previous studies have presented very late, which makes history of pattern of sun exposure doubtful.

3. I could not find clearly defined inclusion-exclusion criteria.

4. Please mention the follow up schedule in methods itself.

5. Please mention how statistical analysis was performed. Even for a descriptive analysis like yours

6. How was the dominant eye ascertained?

7. Do we have perimetry of any patient?

8. Your conclusion about myopia is wrong, and anyways a single eye doesn't mean anything in absence of controlled analysis

9. A graph showing visual improvement with time would look good

10. The eye that did not improve should be detailed

11. Second paragraph of discussion: you said that cornea takes care of <280, why is the role of lens necessary here then?

12. Second paragraph of discussion: I think young age is simply the cause behind sun exposure, rather than solar reinopathy itself

13. Please remove discussion about myopia being protective; its wrong as I mentioned before
14. Paragraph 9 needs to be reframed as marked

15. Conclusion needs to be thoroughly revamped in light of above comments

16. Why does the OCT not parallel visual status, the authors can discuss this, and the role of future analysis in this direction

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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