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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Please provide a clean final version without tracked changes.
   > The clean final version has been uploaded.

2. "Declarations" - "Ethics approval and consent to participate", please clarify if this study has been approved by local ethics committee of Kim’s Eye Hospital, and "The need for consent was waived by IRB of Kim’s Eye Hospital.", the statement should be consistent, which one is correct? IRB or ethics committee?
   > We revised as "This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board of Kim’s Eye Hospital which waived the requirement for informed consent from participants. It was conducted in accordance with all relevant requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki."

3. "Availability of data and material:" Please also provide a permanent institutional email address here.
   > E-mail address is noted.

4. "Competing interests : None" The statement should be "The authors declare no competing interests."
   > Corrected