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Reviewer’s report:

In this retrospective study, the authors assessed the optical coherence tomography angiography characteristics of acute retinal arterial occlusion. Below are my suggestions to improve the manuscript:

Abstract:

Please give definition for CMT abbreviation.

Page 4, line 14: Please correct "OCAT"

Page 5, line 1: "Although several case reports and studies reported the application of OCTA in RAO, the publications are sporadic and lack of quantitative analysis." The relevant publications should be given as references.

Page 6, line 6: Please correct "criterial".

Page 7, line 2: Please revise as "hand movements".

Page 9, line 8: Since the abbreviations are already given in the text, it is better to continue with the abbreviations (SCP, DCP).

Page 9, line 21: "Subgroup analysis was conducted by group the patient into CRAO and BRAO". Please revise the sentence.

Table 5: A separate table for the findings of 3 eyes might not be necessary. Moreover, the best image (one image only) showing disc findings might be chosen for demonstration.

Discussion:

Page 12, line 4: Please use "was" instead of "is".

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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