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Author’s response to reviews:

Technical Comments:

Critique: Authors responded to the majority of the reviewers critical comments, and manuscript do not require additional peer review, however I insist that manuscript will be proofread by native English speaker, preferably by professional academic editing service that are widely available online and several are recommended in the footer of this letter.

Response: We thank the recommendation. We revised our grammatical errors with the help of Nature Research Editing Service. We upload the "Research Editing Service Certification" as supplementary material.

Critique: 1. Quick scan of the R1 manuscript docx file that authors provided with Grammarly indicated: 57 Contextual spelling errors, 25 grammar error, 26 Punctuation errors, 6 issues with sentence structure, 95 style issues and 24 Vocabulary enhancement suggestions. While Grammarly is automated system and not 100% precise, as is may get confused by scientific terminology and abbreviations sometime, still this values are substantially lower for a well prepared scientific text. After reading revised version of the manuscript I also found that that are still typos and incorrect grammar structures, non-scientific word usage within the manuscript text. Here are few examples: "intravenously drip of drugs", "Besides, the reduced vascular perfusion was corresponding to the delayed dye perfusion areas", "might act as a potential predict factor for RAO", "edema goes more severe", "Severer macular edema" and many others.
Response: We thank the editors for pointing out our grammatical errors. We revised our grammatical errors with the help of Nature Research Editing Service. We upload the "Research Editing Service Certification" as supplementary material.

Critique 2. There is a red color artifact underneath the figure 3 which appears to be "#" and "*" symbols. Are these symbols supposed to indicate certain areas in the figure 3 and shifted during production or were left there by mistake? Please revise.

Response: We appreciate the editor's reminding. We have revised this figure in the revised submission.