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Reviewer's report:

This is a well written and interesting case report. However, there some aspects of this manuscript must be revised:

Minor revisions:

1) Abstract:
   Case Presentation:
   "…An 18-year-old woman presented with severe vision loss in the both eyes…".
   It is better to write "in both eyes"

2) Page 5, line 4: "transvenous" must be replaced by "intravenous"

3) Page 5, line 30: "progresed" must be replaced by "progressed"

Major revision:

1) The lesions observed are multifocal, located in posterior pole and also midperiphery. This type of lesions is suggestive of serpiginous like choroiditis (1). Notwithstanding, immunological test was negative for tuberculosis, which led to the indication of immunosuppression. However, failure of immunosuppression to control progression of the disease is a situation that may lead to consider to treat with anti TB medications, and also to consider other etiologies such as syphilis and herpes. These insights should be developed thoroughly in the discussion section, in order to explain why it was maintained only immunosuppression when the disease progressed.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
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