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Author’s response to reviews:

REVISION LETTER for BOPH-D-18-00568R1

Dear reviewers,

The comments about the manuscript BOPH-D-18-00568R1 were carefully considered. I am now resubmitting a revised manuscript to BMC Ophthalmology for consideration of publication.

Reviewer 1 (Alejandro Tello)

Question: In page 4, line 27, the authors indicate that CDV A was determined using a standard Landolt visual acuity (VA) chart, and then converted to a logarithm of the minimal angle resolution (logMAR) visual acuity for statistical analyses. It is correct, but it is necessary that at least one time they mention the LogMAR equivalent. Therefore in page 5, line 4 the sentence: " The ratio of visual acuity of <0.3 and <0.1 at different time points ..." should read: " The ratio of visual acuity of <0.3 (LogMAR 0.525) and <0.1 (LogMAR 1.0) at different time points ..." The same must be included in the caption of figure 1. It reads: Number of eyes recovered below 0.3 and 0.1 of patient undertaken SMILE or FS-LASIK. It should read: Number of eyes recovered below 0.3 (LogMAR 0.525) and 0.1 (LogMAR 1.0) of patients undertaken SMILE or FS-LASIK.
Reviewer 2 (Abhijit Roy)

Question: My earlier concerns were addressed. However, the English is still very poor. I commend the 1st reviewer for taking the pains to edit the manuscript. I highly recommend that the manuscript is edited by a professional service. The manuscript cannot be published in its present form.
Answer: The English has been revived by an American scholar visiting our Third Military University.