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Reviewer’s report:

I thank the editorial team for giving me the opportunity to review this article. The article has already been aptly amended according to the comments of previous reviewers. The article is acceptable in its present form after making a few minor corrections. These are as follows:

1. These points (line-70 & 71) in the inclusion criteria are irrelevant because they are actually points for exclusion and have rightfully been repeated as exclusion criteria in the text: "no staphyloma, choroidal excavation, or retinal dipping; RPE abnormality only at extra fovea". So I suggest that these lines should be deleted from the inclusion criteria as the alternate condition has already been mentioned as exclusion criteria. Otherwise it will be a repetition and will be irrelevant.

2. Lines 149-152 and lines 157-161 need a major correction in grammar and language. e.g. I suggest replacing the term "beneath RPE" with "underlying RPE". There are a lot of grammatical mistakes in these lines which should be corrected.

Thanks and regards.
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