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Author’s response to reviews:

Amy Branch-Hollis
Editor
BMC Ophthalmology

Dear Dr Branch-Hollis,

We appreciate the comments on the manuscript BOPH-D-18-00698R3, entitled “The relationship between foveal outer nuclear layer thickness in the active and resolved phases of central serous chorioretinopathy treated with half-dose photodynamic therapy”.

We are pleased to submit herewith the revised manuscript, which addresses the points that have been raised. Each of the co-authors has seen and agreed to each of the changes made to this manuscript and the way his or her name is listed. Thank you for your hard work and great help.

We address all the comments below.

1. Please specify in the Methods and Ethics approval and consent to participate sections whether you obtained written or verbal consent from the participants in your study. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure.
Response: Thank you for your comment. We are so sorry about the carelessness. All of the subjects in this study signed informed consent forms. And we add the following to the Methods and Ethics approval and consent section:” All of the subjects signed informed consent forms.”[Methods section: pg. 3, lines 57-58; Ethics approval and consent to participate section: pg. 10, lines 187]

2. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: Thank you for your comment. We have uploaded a single, final, clean version of the manuscript, clean tables and clean figures as required.

We request that a point-by-point response letter accompanies your revised manuscript. This letter must provide a detailed response to each reviewer/editorial point raised, describing what amendments have been made to the manuscript text and where these can be found (e.g. Methods section, line 12, page 5). If you disagree with any comments raised, please provide a detailed rebuttal to help explain and justify your decision.

BMC Ophthalmology operates a policy of open peer review, which means that you will be able to see the names of the reviewers who provided the reports via the online peer review system. We encourage you to also view the reports there, via the action links on the left-hand side of the page, to see the names of the reviewers.

------------------Editorial Policies-------------------

Please read the following information and revise your manuscript as necessary. If your manuscript does not adhere to our editorial requirements, this may cause a delay while this is addressed. Failure to adhere to our policies may result in rejection of your manuscript.

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies and formatting guidelines, all manuscript submissions to BMC Ophthalmology must contain a Declarations section which includes the mandatory sub-sections listed below. Please refer to the journal's Submission Guidelines web page for information regarding the criteria for each sub-section (https://bmcophthalmol.biomedcentral.com/).

Where a mandatory Declarations section is not relevant to your study design or article type, please write "Not applicable" in these sections.
For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must confirm this under this sub-heading and also provide their reasons. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page (see links below).

Declarations

- Ethics approval and consent to participate
- Consent to publish
- Availability of data and materials
- Competing interests
- Funding
- Authors' Contributions
- Acknowledgements

Response: Thank you. We included a Declarations section as required.