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Reviewer’s report:

The case reported by the Authors is a very interesting and stimulating case. The role of emotional stress is not clearly explored in Literature and your report for sure may add useful informations to the reader.

There are some points that may be better explained along the manuscript:

- First why did you change the topical medications to control the IOP spike and you didn't just add oral acetazolamide to the patient?

- Did you change the medications in both eyes or only in the left one? After the IOP spike is still the patient using timolol and dorzolamide and brimonidine?

- Line 111 please correct familial with familiar;

- Line 113-114 Contact lens sensor didn't provide IOP measurements but register signal fluctuations, I believe that the reader should be aware of this concept which is very important and need to be better clarified also in the figure 2

- Did you perform an UBM or only AS-OCT? Did the examination confirm the slit lamp appearance of the bleb?

- I believe that in order to better clarify the differences between the two eyes is important to clarify for the Reader the ExPress surgical techniques; where still the scleral sutures on site at the time of IOP spike? Sometimes releasable sutures are used to close the scleral flap and removed to control wound healing process during the firs 2-3 weeks.

- Fig 1 please add the axis of the graph what they refer to.

- Did you find any paper that observe, as you do, such a difference between the two eyes? It's very difficult this different behavior.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
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**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
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