Reviewer's report

Title: Comparison of face-down posturing with nonsupine posturing after macular hole surgery: a meta-analysis

Version: 0 Date: 07 Apr 2018

Reviewer: Mark Alberti

Reviewer's report:

1. The article's biggest impediment is its use of the English language. The ideas presented in the article need to be communicated with a better command of the written language.

2. In the discussion, the authors mention the upward mechanical force of buoyancy may explain a benefit for FDP. The authors should relate this statement to the publication: "The magnitude of the bubble buoyant pressure: implications for macular hole surgery" published in RETINA in 1998.

3. The authors seem to have selected articles comparing of FDP vs NSP, yet make conclusions with regards to a different question: the value of ILM peel. Because the authors are comparing FDP with NSP, many important publications examining the value of ILM peel are left out. The authors should at least mention this as a limitation.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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