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Reviewer's report:

I have several comments related to this paper. Please see my comments below.

1. There are few sentences that needs to be rephrase for better conveyance of information the author wants to elucidate, e.g. abstract line 56-57.
2. Abstract and Results. The authors should change "67%" to "Sixty-seven percent" in lines 48 and 96) as well as "4" to "Four" in line 112.
3. Introduction. These 2 sentences contradicts each other, kindly check what the authors really wants to illustrate, (Line 68-69) and unify the corresponding sentences. (Lines 68-69 and 76-77)
4. The authors should mention the study design. If this study was a prospective study, the authors must obtained informed consent from the patients for this study, and they also must obtain approval from Ethics Committee at their institution in the Materials and Methods section. This section should also include statements regarding adherence to Declaration of Helsinki.
5. I recommend the authors to briefly explain the OTS.
6. The authors should explain the procedures done to the patients in the Materials and Methods section. Did the patients underwent imaging prior to the surgery, especially for open globe cases? Was there damage to canaliculus for all patients? Who did the surgery? Was IV/Oral steroids given to patients post op?
7. The authors should also define open globe injury in the Materials and Methods section.
8. Results. Indicate the affliction sustained by the patient who was wearing sunglasses. (Line 102)
9. Results. I recommend to the authors to move the following sentence "The mean follow-up…" to Line 169. (Lines 107-108)
10. Table should be placed at the latter part of the manuscript with the figures. (Line 124)
11. Table 1. The authors should show the expanded form of "OTS".
12. Results. What kind of suture was used for the corneal repair? (Line 137)
13. Discussion. I recommend the authors to rephrase these sentences, both are independent clauses but the second one explains the first. (Line 176-179)
14. Discussion. I recommend to the authors to show the type of fish hooks that injured the patients. (Lines 186-187)

15. Discussion. Do not capitalize the word 'Department', unless it is a part of a name.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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