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Reviewer's report:

I understand that this is a retrospective review. Please explain why it was decided to irrigate some patients and not others.

Also, any indication that dry eye/corneal disease worsened in any patients who had their lacrimal system irrigated with dexamethasone due to tear deficiency?

There are significant grammatical errors throughout the manuscript that should be fixed prior to publication.

Were any complications from this procedure noted?

It is hard to garner conclusions from Table 2 - is there a way to reorganize the information so that significant trends or important conclusions can be easily made from it?

Has this procedure been done before in this patient population? If so - this information with references should be included in the Background section. If not, how was it decided to perform this procedure?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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