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Reviewer's report:
Jeroni et al. reported a successful implantation and rehabilitation case of ARGUS II prosthesis in Usher type II patient. Although this is the first report, some issue should be addressed before publication.

1. Main problem of Argus II implantion in deaf patients might be that the patients cannot use auditory cue during their rehabilitation. The authors should more describe on the different points of rehabilitation process of this patient from other typical RP patients. What was the role of interpreter during the rehabilitation?

2. Page 4 line 40-42, "We noted that he had a high intellectual capacity" seems to be somewhat subjective expression without any objective validation.

3. Page 5, line 10-14, please be more specific for the basic phase. Was the patient trained using the Instructional kit provided by Second Sight or other similar tools?

4. Page 5 Line 9-11, some expression is duplicated.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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