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Reviewer’s report:

This article has improved greatly! I just have a few suggestions listed below. None are as critical as the ones I listed previously.

Lines 134-135

Are the "healthy adults" that "also participated in the study" the same people as the non-vitiligo group? If so, why not just say that?

Lines 136-141

It's still not clear what "hand units" are. You describe the palm with and without the fingers. Which one is the hand unit?

Line 169

If you also presented minimum, median, and maximum, mention those here as well.

Line 127 and 169-170 you mention that you examined 154 eyes of the 77 patients. And in the methods, you describe all the ocular assessments. You need to state in the methods whether you are comparing eyes or patients. You seem to refer to patients in the methods. I see in the tables that you present the left and right eyes separately. You might just add to the methods that that is how you are presenting the results.

There are still commas or other incorrect symbols in your p-values in the following places. Just correct these:

Line 187 (p>0,05)

Lines 189-190 (p: 0,029)

Line 191 (p<0,001)

Line 193 (p: 0.300)
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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