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Author's response to reviews:

Author Answers:

1. The last section of the abstract should be Trial Registration: listing the trial registry and the unique identifying number and the date of registration, e.g. Trial registration: Current Controlled Trials ISRCTN12345678. Registered 28 September 2004. Please note that there should be no space between the letters and numbers of the trial registration number. Please make sure your study is registered in suitable publicly available registries, which are listed on the ICMJE website as well as any of the primary registries that participate in the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform, including the ISRCTN registry.

Answer: The last section of the abstract was provided as Trial Registration: listing the trial registry and the unique identifying number and the date of registration.

2. "Discussion" and "Conclusion" should be two individual sections.

Answer: "Discussion" and "Conclusion" organized as two individual sections.

3. Please provide the Legend of Figure 1 after the "Reference" section.

The Legend of Figure 1 was provided after the "Reference" section.
4. Please provide us the clean final version without track changes.

The clean final version without track changes has been provided.

Reviewer reports:

Rasit Kilic (Reviewer 1): The corrections are adequate.

Thank you very much Rasit Kilic.

Sandra Stinnett (Reviewer 2): This article has improved greatly! I just have a few suggestions listed below. None are as critical as the ones I listed previously.

Lines 134-135 Are the "healthy adults" that "also participated in the study" the same people as the non-vitiligo group? If so, why not just say that?

Answer: The "healthy adults" changed as ‘‘non-vitiligo adults’’.

Lines 136-141 It's still not clear what "hand units" are. You describe the palm with and without the fingers. Which one is the hand unit?

Answer: Hand unit described.

Line 169 If you also presented minimum, median, and maximum, mention those here as well.

Answer: That minimum, median, and maximum presenting mentioned.

Line 127 and 169-170 you mention that you examined 154 eyes of the 77 patients. And in the methods, you describe all the ocular assessments. You need to state in the methods whether you are comparing eyes or patients. You seem to refer to patients in the methods. I see in the tables that you present the left and right eyes separately. You might just add to the methods that that is how you are presenting the results.

Answer: The statement that eyes had been compared were added. How we presented the results was added to the methods.

There are still commas or other incorrect symbols in your p-values in the following places. Just correct these:

Line 187 (p>0,05)
Lines 189-190 (p: 0.029)

Line 191 (p<0.001)

Line 193 (p: 0.300)

Answer: Commas or other incorrect symbols were corrected.