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Reviewer's report:
The revised paper reads better.

Abstract; Results; discussion and Conclusion
1. 5/160 TS and 1/160 TT and 1/ 160 CO are not 1.5,0.3 and 0.3% respectively, see tabe 1 , please correct. Because TRA is not a random sample pop based survey, it is not appropriate to age standardised prevalence rates. This also needs correcting in Results.
2. The conclusion that blinding trachoma existed several decades ago because of the existence of 1 case of TT and 1 case of CO is not valid - the numbers are insufficient for this conclusion, and cases of TS are not necessarily blinding. I suggest the conclusion is that out of 450 children and 150 daults only 1 case of Tf, i case of TT and i case of CO were found in the highest at risk communities, indicating that trachoma is unlikely to be a significant public health problem. Similar revisions need to be made in the Diiscussion and final conclusion.
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